| <u>Complete Shaded Areas</u> Form last update 1/16/ | | | | | |
|---|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------|
| Proposed 2007 Session Legislation | | | | | |
| Agency Name & No: Department of Administration - 6101 | | | | | |
| Priority Number: | 17 | Filename: | \6101\21-017 | | |
| Short Title: | Length of contr | acts for state h | ealth services | | |
| Agency Contact Person/Phone: Connie Welsh - 444-2553 | | | | | |
| The purpose of this legislation is to extend flexibility in contracting for health care and benefits services for State of Montana Employee Benefits and related programs. Purchasing statute would be changed to permit contracting authorized under 2-18-811, MCA to go from a maximum length of 7 years to 10 years. The goal of this flexibility would be to reduce costs of benefits to employees and retirees, reduce administrative costs where appropriate, and increase efficiency in the delivery of health care and employment related benefits and services. | | | | | |
| 2. Background: |] | | | | |
| Current statute requires that contracts for state employee benefits be re-procured after a maximum of seven years. Increasingly we find that it is not in the best interests of the State or of employees and retirees to reprocure services for certain benefits that frequently. An example is the purchase of the long-term care benefit. This benefit is purchased on a fully insured basis by The state for an extended period. This impact should be as specific as possible. As stated above, there is potential to reduce the cost of benefits and administrative costs through selection of appropriate contracts for an extended period. Reduction in cost of benefits and administration will result in | | | | | |
| reductions both to the employee (out-of-pocket costs) and the employer (state share). This provision would not go into effect until existing contracts were re-procured. Until that time, it is difficult to estimate the fiscal impact. | | | | | |
| 4. Summary Checklist [Check & complete all that apply] | | | | | |
| ✓ Housekeeping Only Fede | eral Requirement | Audit Reco | mmendation (Audit No.) | | Major Legislation |
| Anticipated to be Controversial Legislation Bill Draft has been included in Legislation Submittal (if available) | | | | | |
| Supports Submitted EPP Item Number Local Government Fiscal Impact | | | | | |
| ☐ Increases FTE, or ☐ Decreases F | TE by | List FTE amount and program | | | |
| Increases Existing Revenue | ○ Tax ○ Fee | O Penalty [amo | ount in #3] | | |
| Decreases Existing Revenue | ○ Tax ○ Fee | O Penalty [am | ount in #3] | | |
| Establishes New Revenue | ○ Tax ○ Fee | O Penalty [amo | ount in #3] | | |
| Leg. has been Submitted in Previous Legislative Sessions (list priority no, LC no, or bill no): | | | | | |
| Legislation would affect other state agencies (list): | | | | | |
| Special Interest Groups Affected (list): Third-party administrators (BCBSMT, Allegiance, New West) | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | |